



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/758,538	01/11/2001	Hans Heinle	1-22914	9389

7590 04/21/2005

MacMillan, Sobanski & Todd, LLC  
Fourth Floor  
One Maritime Plaza  
720 Water Street  
Toledo, OH 43604

EXAMINER
----------

BURCH, MELODY M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3683

DATE MAILED: 04/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/758,538

Applicant(s)

HEINLE ET AL.

Examiner

Melody M. Burch

Art Unit

3683

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 April 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 12-22 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 12-22 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114***

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance or after an Office action under *Ex Parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213 (Comm'r Pat. 1935). Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/5/05 has been entered.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 16-20 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Re: claim 16. The phrase "the control element" in line 1 is indefinite. It is unclear to the Examiner since Applicant previously recited more than one control element.

Re: claim 18. The phrase "the drive shaft" in line 2 lacks proper antecedent basis in the claim.

Re: claim 22. The phrase "the predetermined switching temperature" in the last line of the claim lacks proper antecedent basis.

The remaining claims are indefinite due to their dependency from claim 16.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 12-17, 21, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6220416 to Katoh et al. in view of US Patent 5634348 to Ikeda et al.

Re: claims 12, 14, 15, and 21. Katoh et al. show in figure 1 a drive for cooling fans in motor vehicles, the drive comprising: a primary cooling circuit or path directed to element 19 and the unnumbered fins including a primary cooler or unnumbered fins shown above and below element 19, a primary temperature sensor 19, at least two secondary coolers 7,8 located in respective secondary cooling circuits or the paths directed to elements 7 and 8, respectively, a fluid friction clutch including driving 15 and driven 21 clutch members and a reservoir 11 for a viscous fluid the reservoir being limited by a separating member 13 and being connectable to a working chamber 12 by at least one first opening 14 shown in figure 3 in the separating member, the working chamber extending into a region between the clutch members in which torque is transmitted from the driving clutch member to the driven clutch member by the viscous fluid, and wherein filling of the working chamber with the viscous fluid is controlled by a first control element 26a shown in figure 3 opening and closing the first opening in the

Art Unit: 3683

separating member depending on the temperature of cooling air or cooled atmospheric air passing through the primary cooler sensed by the primary temperature sensor as disclosed in col. 6 lines 45-47, characterized in that one of the at least two secondary cooling circuits, particularly element 8, includes at least one secondary temperature sensor 8a shown in figure 8 (although the sensor is described as a pressure sensor, Examiner notes that the pressure sensor also functions as a temperature sensor by virtue of the directly proportional relationship between pressure and temperature discussed in col. 8 lines 51-55) being operatively connected to a control unit 30 arranged to control a second control element 32 shown in figure 3 wherein the separating member comprises at least one second opening 23, the second control element being arranged in the working chamber, the control unit moving the second control element to open and close the at least one second opening by way of the cooperation with element 31 in accordance with the temperature sensed by one or more of the secondary sensors to control the filling of the working chamber with the viscous fluid, and wherein control of the second control element is independent of control of the first control element.

Katoh et al. do not include the limitation of *each* of the at least two secondary cooling circuits associated with the heat exchangers or secondary coolers 7,8 including a secondary temperature sensor operatively connected to the control unit.

Ikeda et al. teach in figures 1 and 2 and in col. 5 lines 7-13 the use of two cooling circuits associated with heat exchangers 3 and 4 including respective temperature sensors 38 and 39, respectively, operatively connected to a control unit 40.

Art Unit: 3683

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the secondary cooling circuits of Katoh et al. to each have included temperature sensors operatively connected to the control unit, as taught by Ikeda et al., in order to provide a means of providing signals to actuate a device that adjusts fluid flow and thus adjusts the operation mode of a cooling apparatus as taught by Ikeda et al.

Re: claim 13. See figure 3.

Re: claim 16. Katoh et al., as modified, teach in figure 1 of Katoh et al. the limitation wherein the control element is connected to the control unit by an actuation member 29.

Re: claim 17. Katoh et al., as modified, teach in figure 1 of Katoh et al. the limitation wherein the actuation member 29 extends through a concentric bore of a drive shaft 2 and the control unit 30 engages the actuation member extending from the drive shaft.

Re: claim 22. Katoh et al., as modified, teach in figure 8 of Katoh et al. the limitation wherein the magnet 30 is controlled by an electronic circuit 9a, the secondary temperature sensors forming part of the electronic circuit, and wherein the magnet is moved to open the at least one second opening if either one of the secondary temperature sensors detects a temperature above the predetermined switching temperature as disclosed in col. 8 lines 55-65 of Katoh et al.

Art Unit: 3683

6. Claims 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6220416 to Katoh et al. in view of Ikeda, as applied to claim 16 above, and further in view of US Patent 5381761 to Tanaka.

Katoh et al., as modified, describe the invention substantially as set forth above including a control unit arrangement, but do not include the limitation of the specific control unit arrangement as claimed.

Tanaka teaches in figure 1 the use of a similar drive for cooling fans in a motor vehicle including the limitation wherein a control unit 72,79,80 is rotatably arranged in a chamber of a drum 74 driving a drive shaft 25 and a working fluid flows through the chamber to the same extent as Applicant's wherein the control unit is rotatably supported in the drum by a roller bearing 76 and wherein the control unit includes a piston 80 and cylinder 72 actuator, the piston being connected to the actuation member as shown in figure 1 wherein the piston includes first and second surfaces, the first or left surface being subjected to a force of a biasing element 86, and the second surface being subjected to a force generated by an element 78 which expands with rising temperatures to open an at least one second opening 61.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the control unit arrangement of Katoh et al., as modified, to have included a control unit arrangement, as taught by Tanaka, in order to provide an equally effective mechanical means (as opposed to an electromechanical means) of actuating a component to adjust the opening of a fluid supply port depending on manufacturing costs and device space requirements.

**Conclusion**

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melody M. Burch whose telephone number is 571-272-7114. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (6:30 AM-3:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles A. Marmor can be reached on 571-272-7095. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mmb  
mmb

April 15, 2005

Melody M. Burch  
4/15/05